




BEST AVAILABLE COPY



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

PRIORITY DOCUMENT

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.


In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed 

Dated 27 October 2004



Patents Form 1/77


Patents Act 1977
(Rule 16)



1/77
25MAR04 5593682-6 002880
P01/7700 0.00-0406657.7 CHEQUE

Request for grant of a patent 24 MAR 2004

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)



The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference

REP07489GB

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill this part in)

0406657.7

24 MAR 2004

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

Arakis Ltd.
Chesterford Research Park
Little Chesterford
Saffron Walden
Essex
CB10 1XL

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

United Kingdom

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

830 6128001

4. Title of the invention

THE USE OF NON-OPIATES FOR THE
POTENTIATION OF OPIATES

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

Gill Jennings & Every

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

Broadgate House
7 Eldon Street
London
EC2M 7LH

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

745002 ✓

6. Priority: Complete this section if you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, filed in the last 12 months.

Country

Priority application number
(if you know it)

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

7. Divisionals, etc: Complete this section only if this application is a divisional application or resulted from an entitlement dispute (see note f)

Number of earlier UK application

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

8. Is a Patents Form 7/77 (Statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent) required in support of this request?

YES

Answer YES if:

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
- b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
- c) any named applicant is a corporate body.

Otherwise answer NO (See note d)

Patents Form 1/77

Patents Form 1/77

Accompanying documents: A patent application must include a description of the invention. Not counting duplicates, please enter the number of pages of each item accompanying this form:

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 8

Claim(s) 1

Abstract

Drawing(s) 1 *th*

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for a preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)

Request for a substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

NO

Any other documents (please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

For the applicant

Gill Jennings & Every

[Signature]
Signature

Date 24 March 2004

12. Name, daytime telephone number and e-mail address, if any, of person to contact in the United Kingdom

PERRY, Robert Edward

020 7377 1377

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 08459 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered YES in part 8, a Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- Part 7 should only be completed when a divisional application is being made under section 15(4), or when an application is being made under section 8(3), 12(6) or 37(4) following an entitlement dispute. By completing part 7 you are requesting that this application takes the same filing date as an earlier UK application. If you want the new application to have the same priority date(s) as the earlier UK application, you should also complete part 6 with the priority details.

THE USE OF NON-OPIATES FOR THE POTENTIATION OF OPIATES

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to the use of non-opiates for the treatment of pain, and in the potentiation of opiates, to boost analgesia.

5 Background of the Invention

Patients suffering from chronic benign pain and/or cancer pain are often treated with opiates/opioids which are often administered in a controlled release manner. However, from time to time, the analgesic effect cover is insufficient and the patient experiences painful episodes.

10 Such intermittent, uncontrollable episodes (breakthroughs) are found in chronic benign pain states which can be categorised as musculoskeletal, visceral and headache pain, and include conditions such as osteoarthritis, chronic pancreatitis and chronic migraine. Breakthrough pain is also found in cancer pain conditions associated with the malignant growth of tumours both
15 primary and metastatic in nature. Such conditions are thought to be associated with either pressure on normal tissue (invasion) or the release of pro-nociceptive mediators in and around the tumour.

Cancer breakthrough pain (CBP) is a particular example of episodic pain, and is characterised by short-lasting severe pain episodes superimposed upon
20 background pain treated with standard analgesia, typically seen in patients with advanced-stage cancer. The majority of patients with CBP present with a mixed nociceptive-neuropathic pain, with a subpopulation (which is well recognised) having predominantly neuropathic CBP. This neuropathic element is refractive to conventional opioid-derived analgesia, undermining overall therapeutic
25 effectiveness and patient quality of life in an already under-diagnosed and under-treated condition.

Tumours that metastasise to the bone, notably those derived from lung, breast and prostate cancer, are likely to generate episodes of CBP. The aetiological composition of CBP is mostly nociceptive and/or visceral, as a result
30 of the pressure exerted by the advancing tumour. The neuropathic element is mostly likely explained as a result of tumour-related nerve compression and/or

destruction. However, there are other causes such as the action of pro-inflammatory agents secreted by cancerous tissue in direct proximity to the nerve.

The prevalence of neuropathic-related CBP ranges from 10-26% of breakthrough episodes. Zeppetella et al (2001) surveyed UK CBP patients and found that 10% of CBP could be classified as neuropathic, whilst a recent survey by Portenoy et al (2000) suggested a higher figure, of around 26%.

First-line treatments for CBP are dependent upon the physician's assessment of the type and severity of pain in which the patient is suffering. Pharmacological treatment for neuropathic cancer pain consists of empirical titration of anticonvulsants, notably gabapentin, or tricyclic antidepressants, in common with the treatment of benign forms of neuropathic pain.

Current treatments for neuropathic-related CBP involve using rapidly acting supplementary opiates. These are not always seen as desirable, due to problems with illicit diversion and in some cases accidental usage. In addition, they are often administered in such a way as to delay their onset of action, resulting in a shortfall in the analgesia required by the patient and/or analgesia prolonged unnecessarily beyond the duration of the breakthrough episode. Therefore, a rapid and efficacious treatment remains an unmet medical need.

NMDA NR2B specific antagonists, exemplified by ifenprodil, are known to potentiate opiates (Bernardi et al, 1996). The combination of the side-effects associated with the co-administration of NMDA antagonists and opiates (Hoffman et al, *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2003) produces significantly more respiratory depression, and possibly more emesis and mental clouding, than either agent given alone.

CCK receptor antagonists such as proglumide have been demonstrated to reverse tolerance to opiates, reducing the dose of opiate required to produce analgesia (Kellstein et al, *Pain*; 1991). Consequently, proglumide has been demonstrated to boost opiate analgesia, meaning that a markedly reduced dose of opioid is required to achieve the same level of analgesia. This has been shown to occur, without any potentiation in respiratory depression (US-A-

4576951) or any effect on the development of opiate dependence (Paneria et al., *Brain Research*; 1987).

The pharmacology of proglumide is mixed CCK_A (gastrin) and CCK_B antagonism, its anti-ulceration action being via the inhibition of the CCK_A receptor. Antagonism at the CCK_B receptor has thus far been unexploited and is known to be involved in the development of tolerance to morphine analgesia (Watkins et al, *Science*; 1984). Proglumide when given by the oral route is known to induce headache as its major side-effect.

Noradrenaline/serotonin reuptake inhibitors have been shown to be opiate potentiators (Larsen and Arnt, *Acta Pharmacol Toxicol*; 1984). They are exemplified by desipramine, a classical antidepressant, and nefopam which is a non-opiate analgesic drug. Such compounds have a number of side-effects at oral therapeutic doses, which include cardiovascular abnormalities, restlessness, insomnia, ataxia, dry mouth and emesis.

Adrenergic stimulating agents include agents which stimulate alpha₂ adrenoceptors and potentiate opiates. Alpha adrenoceptor agonists are exemplified by clonidine. Clonidine is a strong non-opiate analgesic which is often given intrathecally at analgesic doses to avoid its poor side-effect profile which includes hypotension, emesis, weight gain, nervousness and fatigue. Beta adrenoceptor agonists are exemplified by salbutamol. Salbutamol is a bronchodilator which is given via the pulmonary route by dry powder inhaler. Its side-effects are typical of the beta adrenoceptor agonists, i.e. tremor, tachycardia, tension, headaches and peripheral vasodilation.

COX inhibitors are generally known to potentiate the effects of opiates and are often used in combination with weak opiates for the treatment of moderate pain (cocodamol and coproxamol). Diclofenac is an example of a mixed COX inhibitor. Therapeutic doses of diclofenac have side-effects include gastric ulceration, abdominal cramps, constipation and dizziness.

Summary of the Invention

The present invention is a new use for non-opiate analgesics in the treatment of episodic pain, and in particular of breakthrough pain associated with chronic benign pain or cancer pain states. The dose of non-opiate can be low enough not to induce side-effects often associated with either the non-opiate alone or the combination of non-opiate and opiate. The non-opiate is preferably administered via a route which avoids first-pass metabolism.

In particular, intranasal or sublingual administration of non-opiate, opiate potentiators allows lower doses of the potentiators than those used for oral administration. This has the advantage of minimising the side-effects commonly attributed to the drugs in question.

As breakthrough pain requires immediate relief, clinicians and patients alike would find it desirable to administer a dose of the non-opiate through the nasal or sublingual delivery route. The effect via such a route is rapid, and follows a time course similar to that seen with intravenous administration and can provide an improved treatment over those currently available. In addition, this route avoids first-pass metabolism, but also allows quicker penetration to the CNS, which may allow the administration of lower doses than are needed for other indications. This reduces the side-effects of the non-opiate.

Description of the Invention

This invention involves the use of non-opiates (which may be described herein as potentiators). They can typically be used in treatment where an opiate such as morphine, and of which many other examples are known to those skilled in the art, is being used.

Non-opiates suitable for use in the present invention include NMDA antagonists specific for the NR2B subunit. These are exemplified by ifenprodil, felbamate and eliprodil.

Suitable non-opiates also include CCK antagonists. These are exemplified by proglumide, devazipide and loxiglumide.

Further suitable non-opiates include biogenic amine reuptake inhibitors (antidepressants, neuroleptics and analgesics), which inhibit reuptake of

- noradrenaline and serotonin. Antidepressants include agents such as adrafinil, amfebutamone, amitriptyline, amitriptylinoxide, amixetrine, amoxapine, benmoxin, binedaline, butriptyline, caroxazone, carpipramine, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, dibenzapine, dimetacrine, dosulapine, doxepine, etoperidone, fenpentadiol, fipexide, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, imipramine, indalpine, indeloxacine, iproniazid, isocaroxazid, lofepramine, maprotiline, medifoxamine, melitracen, metapramine, mianserin, milnacipran, minaprine, mirtazapine, moclobemide, nefazodone, nialamide, nomifensine, nortriptyline, noxiptiline, opipramol, oxaflozane, paroxetine, phenelzine, protirelin, protriptyline, quinupramine, reboxetine, sertraline, setiptiline, sibutramine, sulpiride, sultopride, tandospirone, tofenacin, toloxatone, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine, venlafaxine, viloxazine and zimeladine. Analgesic reuptake inhibitors include agents such as tramadol, duloxetine, nefopam and venlafaxine. Neuroleptics include agents such as acepromazine, aceprometazine, acepromazine, aceprometazine, acetophenazine, alizapride, benactyzine, benperidol, bromperidol, butaperazine, clopenthixol, chlorpromazine, chlorprothixene, carfenazine, clozapine, cyamemazine, deserpidine, dixyrazine, droperidol, fluanisone, flupentixol, fluphenazine, fluspirilene, haloperidol, homofenazine, levomepromazine, loxapine, mosapramine, moperone, melperone, oxypertine, pipamperone, pimozide, perphenazine, perimetazine, periciazine, penfluridol, pecazine, pipotiazine, piperacetazine, prothipendyl, promazine, profenamine, sulforidazine, spiperone, timiperone, tiapride, thioridazine, thioproperazine, thiopropazate, tiotixene, trifluoperazine, trifluoperidol, triflupromazine and zotepine.
- Non-opiate potentiators of opiates also include agents which potentiate the noradrenergic system by acting as β_2 adrenoceptor agonists. Agents which stimulate β_2 adrenoceptors include drugs such as albuterol, bambuterol, bitolterol, broxaterol, carbuterol, clenbuterol, eformoterol, fenoterol, folmoterol, foradil, isoproterenol, metaproterenol, pirbuterol, procaterol, salbutamol, salmeterol, reproterol, rimiterol, terbutaline, tretoquinol and tulobuterol.

Non-opiate potentiators of opiates also include agents which potentiate the noradrenergic system by acting as α_2 adrenoceptors agonists. Agents which stimulate α_2 adrenoceptors include drugs such as brimonidine, clonidine, medetomidine, moxonidine, rilmenidine and tizanidine.

5 Non-opiate potentiators of opiates also include cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibitors, which include non-selective COX inhibitors, selective COX-2 inhibitors such as celecoxib, selective COX-3 inhibitors such as paracetamol, COX inhibitors linked to NO donors and dual action COX and lipoxygenase (LOX) inhibitors. The use of these compounds can be free of GI side-effects commonly
10 associated with these agents delivered orally.

COX inhibitors are exemplified by agents such as aceclofenac, acemetacin, alcofenac, alminoprofen, aloxipirin, amfenac, aminophenazone, ~~anthraphenine, aspirin, azapropazone, benorilate, benoxaprofen, benzydamine,~~
butibufen, chlorthenoxacine, choline salicylate, chlometacin, dexketoprofen,
15 diclofenac, diflunisal, emorfazone, epirizole, etodolac, feclobuzone, felbinac, fenbufen, fenclofenac, flurbiprofen, glafenine, hydroxylethyl salicylate, ibuprofen, indometacin, indoprofen, ketoprofen, ketorolac, lactyl phenetidin, loxoprofen, mefenamic acid, metamizole, mofebutazone, mofezolac, nabumetone, naproxen, nifenazone, oxametacin, phenacetin, pipebuzone, pranoprofen,
20 propyphenazone, proquazone, salicylamide, salsalate, sulindac, suprofen, tiaramide, tinoridine, tolfenamic acid and zomepirac.

Selective COX-2 inhibitors are exemplified by agents such as celecoxib, etoricoxib, lumiracoxib, meloxicam, parecoxib, rofecoxib, tilmacoxib and valdecoxib. Selective COX-3 inhibitors are exemplified by agents such as
25 antipyrine, dipyrrone, paracetamol and phenacetin. COX inhibitors linked to NO donors are exemplified by agents such as nitroflurbiprofen, nitronaproxen and nitrofenac. Dual action COX and lipoxygenase (LOX) inhibitors are exemplified by agents such as licofelone and ketoprofen.

A compound for use in the invention may be in any suitable form, e.g. as
30 a salt. Further, if the compound is chiral, any enantiomeric form, or a racemic or non-racemic mixture, may be used.

A preferred non-opiate for use in the present invention is ifenprodil, e.g. as a single enantiomer such as (-)-threo-ifenprodil, preferably as the citrate or another salt form. It has been found that intranasal dosing is the ideal route of administration of ifenprodil for the potentiation of opiates. An intranasal formulation of ifenprodil is described in WO03/092689 and in British Patent Application No 0324583.4.

Another preferred agent is nefopam; see WO03/105833 for general information and an example. The contents of that publication and others referenced herein are incorporated by reference.

The following Example illustrates the invention, in conjunction with the accompanying drawing.

Description of the Drawing

The drawing (Fig. 1) is a bar chart showing nociceptive reaction latency for different routes of administration of drugs.

Example

In this Example, the potentiation of opiate analgesia has been demonstrated, by a nasally administered non-opiate agent, in the rat tail flick assay. Figure 1 shows the results, and the significant potentiation of morphine analgesia with a non-analgesic dose of the non-opiate agent.

In Fig. 1, results are expressed as mean \pm sem for 6 experiments, which are (from left to right): vehicle, morphine (6 mg/kg), vehicle, ifenprodil (1 mg/rat), vehicle IN + vehicle IP, and ifenprodil IN (1 mg/rat) + morphine IP (6 mg/kg).

vehicle: 90% saline 10% propylene glycol

vehicle and morphine were given intraperitoneally 30 min. before the test

vehicle and ifenprodil were given intranasally 30 min. before the test

n= 10 rats per group

student's T test: * indicates a significant difference in comparison to the vehicle group for $P < 0.05$

student's T test: † indicates a significant difference in comparison to the morphine group for $P < 0.05$

These results indicate that ifenprodil can be used in the treatment of

breakthrough pain. In particular, the data show that ifenprodil potentiates morphine when administered intranasally and therefore has the ideal characteristics to be used in the treatment of breakthrough pain (low pain, rapid onset, low or no side-effects).

CLAIMS

1. Use of a non-opioid analgesic for the manufacture of a medicament for the treatment of intermittent or episodic pain experienced by a patient undergoing chronic pain treatment with an opioid analgesic.
- 5 2. Use according to claim 1, wherein the pain is chronic benign pain.
3. Use according to claim 2, wherein the pain is related to a musculoskeletal, visceral or headache condition.
4. Use according to claim 3, wherein the condition is osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic back pain, chronic pancreatitis or chronic migraine.
- 10 5. Use according to claim 1, wherein the pain is breakthrough pain in cancer.
6. Use according to claim 5, wherein the cancer breakthrough pain is primarily neuropathic.
7. Use according to any preceding claim, wherein the non-opioid analgesic is a potentiation of the opioid analgesic.
- 15 8. Use according to any preceding claim, wherein the non-opioid analgesic is selected from antagonists of NMDA, CCK, substance P or neurokinin, compounds that cause uptake blockade, agonists of α_2 or β_2 adrenoceptors, and COX inhibitors.
9. Use according to any preceding claim, wherein the non-opioid analgesic is selected from clenbuterol, proglumide, devazepide, ifenprodil, nefopam, tramadol, duloxetine and venlafaxine.
- 20 10. Use according to any preceding claim, wherein the medicament is for administration via a route that avoids first-pass metabolism.
11. Use according to claim 10, wherein the route is intranasal.
- 25 12. Use according to claim 10, wherein the route is sublingual.
13. Use according to claim 10, wherein the route is pulmonary.
14. A product comprising an opioid analgesic and a non-opioid analgesic, as a combined preparation for simultaneous, separate or sequential use in the treatment of chronic pain including intermittent or episodic pain.
- 30 15. A product according to claim 14, wherein the non-opioid analgesic is as defined in any of claims 7 to 9.




Fig. 1

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record.**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.